



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/079,817	02/22/2002	Takashi Nakabayashi	033035.088	5522

7590 01/20/2004

SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL, LLP
Suite 800
1850 M Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, DUNG T

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2828

13

DATE MAILED: 01/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10079817	02/22/02		

EXAMINER	
MICHAEL DUNG NGUYEN	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2828	13

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BRANDON BOSS (3) MICHAEL DUNG NGUYEN
(2) PAU IP (4)

Date of interview 01/16/04

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement: ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed: Kuhara et al 5,787,215

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant clarified that Kuhara et al fail to teach or suggest the sequential arrangement of the elements within the housing. However, the claim fails to define any support of mounting structure for the sequential arrangement mounting in order to provide the benefit of the invention. Applicant will submit a response for reconsideration.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

Paul J. Spence
Examiner's Signature